

QUESTIONS & RESPONSES #01**PROCUREMENT NUMBER: 022026-1019****RFP/RFQ TITLE: Parcel 117 Critical Site Redevelopment Snail Eradication****CONTACT: Michelle Walker, Procurement Analyst****EMAIL: procurement@portoftacoma.com****PHONE NUMBER: 253-888-4744****QUESTIONS DUE DATE: July 15, 2026 @ 2:00 PM (PST)****Q&A ISSUE DATE: Thursday, July 16, 2026**

#	Question	Answer	Question #
1	Can we please request CAD Drawings?	CAD files will be provided to the low responsive bidder, after the bids open. Provide bids based on PDF drawings provided.	Q-003903
2	Standard HMA Mix designs are typically 3/8", 1/2" and 1" - can you please advice if the 3/4" design in the lower section of the Heavy Duty Asphalt (Drawing C4.00 Detail 4) can be substituted with either 1/2" or 1"?	A 1" substitution would be acceptable for the lower section if the contractor submits a substitution request into the Port.	Q-003910
3	Will you be adding a bid item for TESC? If not, what bid item should this work be under?	No, a bid item for TESC will not be added. TESC costs can be placed under Mobilization and Demobilization. Payment for Mobilization and Demobilization, allows "for other work and operations which it must perform or costs it must incur before beginning production work on the various items on the project site".	Email
4	Can you tell me if the pre bid conference for Parcel 117 this morning is in person only, or is there an option to call in?	All site visits at the Port are in person only.	Email
5	There are two drums onsite. Is the contractor responsible for disposing of them?	Disposal of the two drums with soil cuttings from previous drilling activities is not part of the scope. The drums will be disposed of by the Port by the time the contractor mobilizes to the jobsite.	Site visit
6	Detail 05 on sheet C2.02 calls for crushed surface base course (CSBC) while the rest of the drawings call for crushed surface top course. Please clarify.	The note in detail 05 or sheet C2.02 should be for top course (CSTC). See Addendum-1.	Site visit
7	Are open fire pits for burning vegetation allowed?	No. See Addendum-1 for revised Section 31 10 00 language about burning vegetation onsite.	Site visit
8	Would the Port consider measurement of the top course to be per ton instead of cubic yards on the Bid Form	Yes. See Addendum-1 for revised bid item.	Site visit
9	Is installation of a second access road through the Site to connect Taylor way and Alexander allowed?	Installation of a second access road through the site would require the contractor to extend the vineyard snail eradication area BMPs zones throughout the entire site where the road is extended. BMPs would need to be submitted and approved by Port and agencies.	Site visit
10	Is the Port providing Salt?	No. Per the project documents, the only materials the Port is providing are the snail bait shown in Section 01 64 00 Owner-furnished products and ecology blocks around the property as shown on C3.00 and described in 01 40 00 Work Restrictions.	Site visit
11	In the background on drawing C1.00, there are B-73, B-70, etc. labels indicating boring locations. Where is the information for these borings at?	The "B-XX" number is just an arbitrary survey point number associated with the wetland boundary flags, the number has no meaning. These labels are not boring location. See Addendum-1 for updated Survey plans that have the wetland boundary called out associated with these flag labels.	Site visit
12	The Puget Sound Clean Air Agency (PSCAA) has maintained a permanent prohibition on land-clearing (open pile) burning in King, Kitsap, Pierce, and Snohomish Counties since 2008, under WAC 173-425-040(5). This project site, located in Pierce County, falls within that jurisdiction. Mechanical/air curtain incinerator units are regulated separately from open burning and would typically require a PSCAA Notice of Construction / Order of Approval permit. Given this, the Contractor requests clarification: 1. Has the Port of Tacoma or its representative already obtained, applied for, or initiated any permit or approval from PSCAA for burning and/or incineration activities associated with this project? If so, please provide the permit/application number, current status, and a copy of the permit or approval, including any conditions of approval. Or will this be the responsibility of the Contractor?	The Port of Tacoma has initiated approval for incineration from PSCAA, but has not obtained a permit or approval from PSCAA for burning and or incineration activities associated with the the project. It is anticipated that the contractor and Port will work together to obtain permit once contractor has incinerator details to submit to PSCAA. Per the specs, "Once sufficient material has been stockpiled for incineration, a schedule of burning shall be supplied to the Port for approval with the required agencies including City of Tacoma and Puget Sound Clear Air Agency." See Addendum-1 for additional information regarding incineration and burning activities.	Q-003918
13	Requesting confirmation that the pre-bid conference that took place on July 8th, 2026 was not mandatory.	Correct. The pre-bid meeting was not mandatory.	Q-003917